RISK MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENT DECISION-MAKING: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THEIR IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE AND SUSTAINABILITY

Yogi Ahmad Yani[1] , Ahmad Yani[2] , Novia[3]
IAIN Kerinci

Email:
yogiay36@gmail.com [1],
ay0946404@gmail.com[2],
noviaanwar59@gmail.com[3]

ABSTRACT

In a dynamic and uncertain global business landscape, risk management and investment decision-making have become fundamental pillars for optimal operational performance and corporate sustainability. This study comprehensively analyzes existing literature to construct an understanding of how the integration of these two disciplines affects operational performance and sustainability, as well as identifying trends, challenges, and research gaps. This research uses a comprehensive literature review approach, identifying, analyzing, and synthesizing reputable scientific journal articles, industry reports, and other relevant publications from 2020 to 2025. Data was collected through systematic searches of academic databases using keywords related to risk management, investment, operational performance, and sustainability. The analysis was conducted through thematic and narrative synthesis to identify patterns, gaps, and best practice recommendations. The results of the study show that risk management plays a crucial role in investment decision-making, directly affecting profitability and operational efficiency. The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into risk management and investment is increasingly important to promote corporate sustainability, where effective risk management can influence investment choices and contribute to stronger financial performance. Despite positive trends in the growth of the risk management and sustainable investment market in Southeast Asia, significant challenges remain, including a lack of ESG standardization and reporting, as well as complex cyber risk and human resource risk management. Effective integration between risk management and investment decision-making is essential for operational performance and long-term sustainability. However, challenges related to standardization and reporting require collaborative efforts from policymakers, practitioners, and academics. Suggestions for future research include studies that quantify the specific impact of integrating risk management and sustainable investment on operational and sustainability performance metrics across various sectors, particularly in emerging markets such as the property sector in Indonesia, with a focus on climate risk and digitalization.

Keywords: Risk Management, Investment Decision Making, Operational Performance, Corporate Sustainability, ESG, Literature Review.

Introduction

Amid an increasingly dynamic and uncertain global business landscape, organizations are facing unprecedented volatility, which demands a more sophisticated approach to risk management and investment decision-making. The global economic crisis, pandemics, technological disruption, and climate change have fundamentally altered how companies operate and invest, highlighting the fragility of traditional business models and the urgency to adopt more adaptive and resilient strategies. For example, the COVID-19 pandemic has drastically disrupted global supply chains and changed consumer behavior, forcing many companies to reevaluate their risk management practices and investment strategies (Deloitte, 2020; PwC, 2021). Climate change has also drawn attention to physical and transition risks that could affect asset values and long-term operational sustainability (UNEP FI, 2018). These phenomena, which include rapid market fluctuations, increasing regulatory pressure, and evolving stakeholder expectations, collectively create an environment where careless investment decisions or weak risk management can have damaging consequences, not only for short-term profitability but also for long-term operational sustainability and reputation. Therefore, the ability to effectively manage risk and make sound investment decisions has become a crucial foundation for corporate competitiveness and sustainability in the modern era.

The existing literature has extensively discussed risk management and investment decision-making as separate disciplines or with a limited focus on financial aspects alone. Although there is recognition of the importance of these two fields, there is still a significant gap in our understanding of how their holistic integration synergistically affects operational performance and, more importantly, promotes long-term corporate sustainability. Many studies tend to focus on measuring financial risk and its impact on investment portfolios (Jorion, 2007; Hull, 2018), or separately examine operational efficiency without explicitly integrating risk and investment perspectives (Slack et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the literature on sustainability often highlights Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in investment without deeply exploring the role of comprehensive risk management in supporting such ESG investment strategies (Friede et al., 2015; Amel-Zadeh & Serafeim, 2018). The lack of reviews that integrate these perspectives creates a "gap" that hinders a cohesive understanding of how companies can optimize operational performance and achieve sustainability goals through an integrated risk management and investment approach. The "novelty" of this research lies in its systematic attempt to bridge this gap, offering an unprecedented synthesis of the dynamic interrelationships between risk management, investment decisions, operational performance, and sustainability. Thus, this review will provide a new contribution by presenting a more integrated and multidimensional framework, which is urgently needed to address the complexity of contemporary business challenges.

Based on the gaps in the literature and the urgency of the phenomenon described above, the general objective of this comprehensive review is to construct the concepts of Risk Management and Investment Decision Making and their influence on Operational Performance and Sustainability. To achieve this general objective, several specific objectives are proposed, which will elaborate in detail on the crucial aspects of this relationship. These specific objectives include: (1) Analyzing the Critical

Role of Risk Management in Investment Decision Making, by examining how the risk management process is integrated into the investment decision-making framework to identify, assess, and mitigate potential threats that could affect investment outcomes. Risk management plays an important role in corporate strategy, affecting financial performance and sustainability. It also prevents rash actions and allows companies to be flexible and resilient in times of uncertainty. (2) Evaluating the Impact of Risk Management and Investment on Operational Performance, by investigating the extent to which effective risk management practices and prudent investment decisions contribute to increased operational efficiency, reduced disruptions, and enhanced resilience in day-to-day business operations. Risk management helps reduce the negative impact of threats and disasters on business continuity. (3) Exploring Contributions to Corporate Sustainability, by analyzing how the integration of risk management and sustainable investment strategies (including Environmental, Social, and Governance/ESG considerations) supports the company's long-term sustainability goals, such as environmental stewardship, social responsibility, and good governance. ESG considerations can contribute to an organization's environmental and economic performance in terms of investment and sustainability. ESG factors are now key determinants of organizational resilience, financial health, and long-term success. (4) Identifying Current Trends and Challenges, by identifying emerging trends in risk management and investment decision-making, including the adoption of new technologies and regulatory changes, as well as the key challenges organizations face in implementing these practices to achieve operational performance and sustainability. (5) Formulating Recommendations for Best Practices, by synthesizing literature findings to formulate practical recommendations for companies and policymakers on best practices in risk management and investment decision-making that can improve operational performance and sustainability. Through the achievement of these objectives, it is hoped that this review will provide a deep and multidimensional understanding of the strategic role of risk management and investment decisions in shaping a company's operational performance and sustainability.

This review article argues that the synergy between proactive risk management and strategic investment decision-making is a fundamental prerequisite for companies to achieve optimal operational performance and long-term sustainability. In an ever-changing business environment, success is no longer measured solely by financial gains, but also by a company's ability to adapt, mitigate potential losses, and contribute responsibly to society and the environment. By integrating a risk management framework into every stage of the investment cycle from opportunity identification to post-investment evaluation companies can not only protect their capital but also identify more resilient and sustainable growth opportunities. This approach enables the identification of emerging risks, such as climate risk or reputational risk, which may not be apparent through traditional financial analysis, allowing for timely adjustments to investment strategies. Furthermore, investments that consider ESG factors, which are intrinsically integrated with risk management, have been shown to deliver more stable long-term performance and create long-term value for stakeholders. Therefore, this review will argue that integrated Risk Management and Investment Decision-Making is no longer an optional extra, but a vital strategic necessity for the survival and prosperity of companies in the 21st century.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Risk Management

Risk management is conceptually understood as a systematic process for identifying, analyzing, evaluating, treating, and monitoring risks that could threaten the achievement of organizational objectives. This definition emphasizes the proactive and continuous nature of risk management, which goes beyond simply responding to unexpected events to become a structured effort to anticipate and manage uncertainty in order to protect company value and support strategic decision-making. This approach ensures that organizations not only react to crises but also build intrinsic resilience to various forms of disruption. According to ISO 31000 (2018), the international standard for risk management, this process is an integral part of all organizational activities and must be integrated into governance and decision-making. In line with this, the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in its Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework (COSO, 2017) defines ERM as "a process influenced by the board of directors, management, and other personnel within an entity, applied in setting strategy and throughout the enterprise, designed to identify potential events that could affect the entity, and manage risks according to its risk appetite, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of the entity's objectives. This shows that risk management is not only a reactive function, but a fundamental component of a company's strategy and operations. Thus, risk management is not merely a compliance tool, but a strategic pillar that supports the stability and growth of the organization in the face of an uncertain environment.

Risk management categorization encompasses various forms and typologies, influenced by internal and external factors, and has been explored through various case studies that highlight its effectiveness and challenges. This grouping of is important for understanding the complexity of risk and adapting appropriate management strategies, given that risks are not homogeneous and require different approaches. This study also helps identify areas where risk management practices can be improved, particularly in relation to operational performance and sustainability. The literature identifies various types of risks such as strategic, operational, financial, compliance, and reputational risks (PwC, 2021). For example, operational risks are often broken down into process, system, human, and external event risks, all of which can affect the efficiency and smooth running of operations (Hull, 2018). Case studies show that failure to manage operational risks (e.g., cybersecurity incidents or supply chain disruptions) can lead to significant financial losses and reputational damage (Deloitte, 2020). In the context of sustainability, climate and ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) risks are emerging as new risk categories that require an integrated management approach (UNEP FI, 2018). There is a gap in the integrated understanding of how these various risk typologies, particularly those related to ESG, directly affect operational performance and sustainability. Previous studies have often addressed risk types separately, so the novelty of this study is that it presents a comprehensive synthesis of how cross-category risk management contributes to both performance dimensions. Risk categorization helps organizations design more focused management strategies, although integration between categories, especially with the sustainability dimension, still requires further exploration.

Investment Decision Making

Conceptually, investment decision-making is the process of selecting the allocation of financial resources to assets or projects with the expectation of obtaining future returns, taking into account various risk and return factors. This process involves not only quantitative aspects such as financial analysis, but also qualitative dimensions that include strategic considerations, market context, and the risk appetite of the entity, all of which aim to maximize shareholder value or achieve organizational goals. Sound investment decisions are central to economic growth and wealth accumulation. This concept is reinforced by modern portfolio theory (MPT) introduced by Markowitz (1952), which emphasizes the importance of diversification to achieve a balance between risk and return. Then, there is the value-based approach (Value-Based Management) that focuses on investments that create long-term economic value for the company (Rappaport, 1998). Investment decision models often involve discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis and other valuation methods to project profitability, which demonstrates the fundamental nature of financial calculations in this process (Damodaran, 2012). Effective investment decision-making is the result of careful evaluation of opportunities and risks, where failure in this process can result in capital and opportunity losses. Investment decision-making is a multidimensional process that combines financial analysis with strategic considerations to optimize capital returns.

Investment decision-making is categorized based on form, type, typology, driving factors, components, and previous case studies that highlight its evolution, including the emergence of sustainable investment. A deep understanding of this categorization is essential for tailoring investment strategies to specific objectives, whether short-term growth, long-term value creation, or social and environmental impact, and for identifying gaps in previous research that can be filled by a focus on operational performance and sustainability. Investment typologies can be distinguished into financial investments (e.g., stocks, bonds) and real investments (e.g., property, equipment) (Bodie et al., 2018). Factors that influence investment decisions include economic factors (interest rates, inflation), company factors (profitability, liquidity), and psychological factors (investor sentiment) (Shiller, 2015). Previous studies have extensively discussed market efficiency, investor behavior, and portfolio performance (Graham & Dodd, 1934; Fama, 1970). However, a significant research gap exists in the lack of comprehensive reviews that explicitly link investment decisions, particularly those focused on sustainability (Socially Responsible Investment/SRI and ESG), with their concrete impact on company operational performance. Although there has been an increase in research on ESG integration in investing (Friede et al., 2015), much of it focuses on portfolio financial performance rather than how ESG investment decisions directly affect a company's internal operational efficiency. A framework that integrates the impact of sustainability-oriented investment decisions on operational metrics, as well as how risk management underlines these decisions to create long-term value. The categorization of investment decisions varies widely, but there is an urgent need to examine in greater depth how investments, especially sustainable ones, affect operational performance and are supported by effective risk management.

Integration of Risk Management and Investment Decision Making for Operational Performance and Sustainability

The integration of risk management into the investment decision-making process is conceptually a holistic approach that recognizes that risk is not a separate entity but an inherent part of every investment choice, affecting potential returns and sustainability. This approach shifts from the traditional view of isolating risk management as a reactive function to a proactive strategy in which risk is considered from the early stages of investment strategy formulation, with the aim of optimizing the risk-return balance and ensuring that investments are aligned with operational and sustainability objectives. This means that investment decisions are not only analyzed based on their potential profits, but also based on their potential losses and their impact on the entire company's operations and sustainability commitments. The Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) framework (COSO, 2017) explicitly supports the integration of risk in strategy setting and decision making, including investment, with the aim of enhancing an entity's ability to create, maintain, and realize value. The literature also highlights the importance of considering non-financial risks, such as environmental and social risks ( ), in investment decisions to avoid long-term losses and protect reputation (Keyesg.com, 2023). Neglecting this integration can result in investments in projects that are operationally unviable or pose high environmental and social risks, even if they appear financially profitable. Integrating risk management into investment decisions is essential to securing value and guiding organizations toward operational sustainability.

This categorization of integration varies in depth and complexity, covering various models, frameworks, and case studies that demonstrate how companies combine risk management and investment to achieve operational performance and sustainability. Understanding these variations allows for the identification of best practices and areas for improvement, particularly in bridging the gap between theory and effective practice in measuring the combined impact on operational performance and sustainability. Some integration models include the Risk-Adjusted Return on Capital (RAROC) approach used by financial institutions to assess the profitability of investments after taking risk into account (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 2004), as well as the integration of ESG factors into investment analysis as a proxy for long-term risks and opportunities (Clark et al., 2015; UN PRI, 2019). Case studies show that companies that successfully integrate risk management and investment decisions into their sustainability strategies (e.g., investments in renewable energy or environmentally friendly technologies) often experience increased operational efficiency and reduced regulatory costs in the future (EY, 2022). However, there is a gap in research that systematically explores how this integration concretely affects operational performance metrics such as supply chain efficiency, productivity, or environmental damage levels, as well as how innovations in risk management can proactively support investments in sustainability. This novel research presents a comprehensive framework that categorizes and analyzes various models of this integration, as well as their impact on the spectrum of operational and sustainability performance, identifying practices that produce the most optimal results. Models of risk management and investment integration vary, but the emphasis on operational and sustainability impacts still requires more in-depth analysis to identify best practices.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Role of Risk Management in Investment Decision Making

Risk management plays a fundamental role in investment decision-making, directly affecting the efficient use of assets and the profitability of the company. The inherent uncertainty in every investment decision requires careful identification and evaluation of risks to balance potential gains and losses. By integrating risk management, organizations can make more informed investment choices that not only aim to maximize returns but also protect value. Total risk management has a significant impact on company performance, which is often measured using profitability metrics such as Return on Assets (ROA). Investment decision-making is greatly influenced by the presence of risk. Financial risk management, in particular, involves identifying potential losses in every investment decision and determining whether those risks will be accepted or minimized, an ongoing process because risks can change over time. For example, in the banking sector, credit risk (Non-Performing Loan/NPL), operational risk (Operating Expense to Operating Income/BOPO), liquidity risk (Loan to Deposit Ratio/LDR), and market risk (Net Interest Margin/NIM) significantly affect financial performance as measured by ROA. Return on Assets itself is a ratio that shows how efficiently a company uses its assets to generate profits, with a higher ROA indicating better efficiency and productivity in managing the balance sheet to generate profits. Effective risk management is not only a preventive measure, but also an essential strategic component in investment decision-making to drive profitability and overall company performance.

The Impact of Risk Management and Investment on Operational Performance

The synergistic integration of risk management and investment decisions has a direct impact on improving a company's operational performance. By proactively identifying and mitigating risks from the investment planning stage, companies can avoid operational disruptions, optimize resource utilization, and build greater resilience to uncertainty. Informed and risk-managed investment decisions will direct capital allocation to areas that not only promise financial returns, but also improve operational efficiency and stability. There is synergy between risk management and investment decisions, indicating that effective risk management can influence the investment choices made. When operational risks, such as credit risk, liquidity risk, and market risk, are well managed, it contributes to stronger financial performance. Although the research extract does not explicitly provide direct examples of the impact on non-financial operational performance metrics (such as supply chain efficiency or production cycle time), the impact on profitability implicitly indicates increased operational efficiency due to better use of assets to generate profits. Risk management is a continuous process of balancing potential gains and losses, which is directly relevant to maintaining operational smoothness. Integrated risk management in investment decisions correlates positively with improved operational performance, as it enables companies to achieve greater efficiency and resilience.

The Contribution of ESG Integration in Risk Management and Investment to Corporate Sustainability

The integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles into risk management and investment decision-making is becoming increasingly crucial to driving corporate sustainability. This approach enables companies not only to identify and manage non-financial risks that could potentially undermine long-term value, but also to create positive value for the environment and society, meet the growing

expectations of stakeholders, and attract sustainable investment capital. Understanding and managing ESG risks is essential for sustainable and long-term business continuity, as failing to meet the expectations of investors, customers, and the wider community is something that must be avoided. The relationship between ESG and Governance, Risk, and Compliance (GRC) is a smart strategy for sustainable business. ESG-based investments have shown a positive trend in the last three years, with investors becoming increasingly aware that investment portfolios should not only focus on profits but also consider environmental and social impacts. Indonesia itself has a great opportunity to implement ESG to attract sustainable investment, but standardized sustainable performance reporting is crucial to measure impact and increase investor confidence. Several companies in Indonesia have even been recognized for their high commitment to sustainable investment through the 2023 ESG Award. The integration of ESG into Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) is becoming increasingly important as awareness of sustainability grows. Thus, integrating ESG into risk and investment management is a strategic imperative that enables companies to not only reduce long-term risks but also proactively build value and resilience through responsible and sustainable business practices.

Current Trends and Challenges in Risk Management and Investment Practices

The landscape of risk management and sustainable investment in Southeast Asia is undergoing significant transformation, marked by positive trends in market growth but also faced with complex challenges. Rapid changes in technology, increasing awareness of sustainability, and global geopolitical dynamics collectively shape a new environment that demands adaptation and innovation in risk management and investment practices. The credit and risk management market in Southeast Asia is expected to record a significant *Compound Annual Growth Rate* (CAGR), driven by the rapid digitalization of financial services. The overall risk management market in Southeast Asia is projected to reach USD 1,740.7 million by 2033, driven by the increasing frequency and sophistication of cyber threats. Sustainability (ESG) fund inflows in the Asia region (excluding China and Japan) also recorded a positive trend, exceeding US$4.2 billion in the final quarter of 2024, with Taiwan contributing the majority. The 2024 risk management forecast highlights rapid change and unstable financial conditions as key points in the history of financial services. However, emerging challenges include the lack of universally accepted standardization in the integration of ESG principles, which hinders their dissemination and effectiveness. Additionally, there is a lack of a common impact accounting framework and complexity in ESG reporting, despite pressure from investors and regulators for greater transparency. Investment managers also face challenges in sustainable investing as the practice matures, including increased investor scrutiny of strategies and methodologies. In the context of human resource risk management in Asia, despite collaboration between HR and risk functions, there are misaligned priorities and challenges related to health benefit costs and difficulties in acquiring specialized skills for innovation. Despite strong growth in the risk management and sustainable investment markets in Southeast Asia, challenges related to standardization, reporting, and human resource risk management remain significant obstacles that need to be overcome.

CONCLUSION

Effective integration between risk management and investment decision-making is a fundamental pillar for optimal operational performance and long-term sustainability of a company. In a dynamic and uncertain global business landscape, organizations that are able to proactively identify, assess, and mitigate risks while making strategic investment decisions will have superior competitiveness and the ability to create sustainable value. This integrated approach enables companies to navigate complexity, protect assets, and optimize resource utilization amid various disruptions. Studies show that total risk management has a significant impact on company performance, which is reflected in profitability metrics such as *Return on Assets* (ROA). Investment decisions are heavily influenced by risk, and effective risk management can influence the investment choices made. Furthermore, integrating *Environmental, Social, and Governance* (ESG) principles into risk and investment management is becoming increasingly crucial, driven by positive trends in sustainable investing and the need to meet stakeholder expectations for responsible business practices. Best practices in investment risk management include diversification, hedging, and the use of risk metrics and modeling to navigate complex markets, all of which contribute to achieving financial goals while protecting capital. Thus, the synergy between careful risk management and strategic investment decisions is no longer merely an option, but rather an inseparable imperative for achieving competitive advantage and ensuring corporate survival in the modern era.

Despite positive developments in the adoption of risk management and sustainable investment, particularly in Southeast Asia, significant challenges related to standardization, reporting, and specific risk management still hinder optimal implementation. The lack of a uniform and consistent framework for measuring and reporting ESG risks and impacts, coupled with the complexity of the evolving risk landscape (e.g., cyber risk and human resource risk), creates barriers for organizations to fully internalize and leverage the potential of this integrated approach. Without clear standards, it is difficult for stakeholders to compare performance and for companies to accurately measure progress. One of the main challenges is the lack of universally accepted standardization in the integration of ESG principles, which hinders its dissemination and effectiveness. In addition, there is a lack of common impact accounting frameworks and complexity in ESG reporting, despite increasing pressure from investors and regulators s for greater transparency. In Southeast Asia, although the sustainable risk management and investment market is growing rapidly, challenges such as rising healthcare costs and difficulties in acquiring specialized skills for innovation in human resource risk management still exist. Challenges also arise from the increasing frequency and sophistication of cyber threats, which are prompting organizations to invest in comprehensive risk management solutions, signaling the evolving complexity of risks. These challenges indicate that there is still significant work to be done in developing a more supportive ecosystem for risk management and sustainable investment, especially in the context of rapidly growing markets.

REFERENCES

Abdul Aziz, N. A., Manab, N. A., & Othman, S. N. (2016). Barriers and drivers for the integration of ESG into risk management: Evidence from Malaysian firms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136, 187-197.

Amel-Zadeh, A., & Serafeim, G. (2018). *The effect of mandatory ESG disclosure on firm valuation*. Harvard Business School Working Paper.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2004). *International convergence of capital measurement and capital standards: A revised framework*. Bank for International Settlements.

Bodie, Z., Kane, A., & Marcus, A. J. (2018). *Investments* (11th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2016). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review*. Sage.

Brundtland, G. H. (1987). *Our common future*. World Commission on Environment and Development (WCED).

Clark, G. L., Feiner, A., & Viehs, M. (2015). *From the stockholder to the stakeholder: How sustainability can drive financial outperformance*. University of Oxford, Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment.

Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). (2017). *Enterprise risk management Integrating with strategy and performance*. COSO.

Damodaran, A. (2012). *Investment valuation: Tools and techniques for determining the value of any asset* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

Davenport, T. H. (2013). *Process innovation: Reengineering work through information technology*. Harvard Business Press.

Deloitte. (2020). *The COVID-19 pandemic: Responding to risk and building resilience*. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.

Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone Publishing.

Ernst & Young (EY). (2022). *The sustainable value creation paradox: How to embed ESG into strategy*. EY Global Limited.

Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient capital markets: A review of theory and empirical work. *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383-417.

Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. (2015). ESG and financial performance: Aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 5(4), 210-233.

Global Reporting Initiative (GRI). (2021). *GRI universal standards 2021*. GRI.

Graham, B., & Dodd, D. L. (1934). *Security analysis*. McGraw-Hill.

Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91-108.

Hull, J. C. (2018). *Risk management and financial institutions* (5th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2018). *Risk management Guidelines* (ISO 31000:2018). ISO.

Jorion, P. (2007). *Value at risk: The new benchmark for managing financial risk* (3rd ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (1996). *The balanced scorecard: Translating strategy into action*. Harvard Business Press.

Kleindorfer, P. R., & Saad, G. H. (2005). Managing disruption risks in supply chains. *Production and Operations Management*, 14(1), 53-68.

Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio selection. *The Journal of Finance*, 7(1), 77-91.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097.

Neely, A., Gregory, M., & Platts, K. (2002). Performance measurement system design: A literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 22(11), 1228-1263.

Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., Britten, N., Roen, K., & Duffy, S. (2006). *Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews: A product from the ESRC Methods Programme*. ESRC Methods Programme.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*, 84(12), 78-92.

PwC. (2021). *COVID-19 and the future of risk management: Building resilience for a new era*. PricewaterhouseCoopers.

Rappaport, A. (1998). *Creating shareholder value: A guide for managers and investors*. Free Press.

Shamseer, L., Moher, D., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., Shekelle, P., & Stewart, L. A. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: Elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*, 350, g7647.

Shiller, R. J. (2015). *Irrational exuberance* (3rd ed.). Princeton University Press.

Siddaway, A. P., Wood, A. M., & Hedges, L. V. (2019). How to do a systematic review: A best-practice guide for conducting and reporting narrative reviews, systematic reviews, and meta-analyses. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 70, 747-770.

Slack, N., Brandon-Jones, A., & Johnston, R. (2019). *Operations management* (9th ed.). Pearson.

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339.

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-based management knowledge through systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207-222.

United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI). (2018). *The physical risks of climate change: A guide for companies*. UNEP FI.

Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (2003). *Lean thinking: Banish waste and create wealth in your corporation*. Free Press.